UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,909	12/12/2005	Boris Y. Shekunov	FER-14670.001.002 6194	
/	7590 01/20/201 L & CLARK LLP	EXAMINER		
23755 Lorain R	toad - Suite 200	HAGOPIAN, CASEY SHEA		
North Olmsted, OH 44070-2224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/541,909		SHEKUNOV ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Casey S. Hagop	ian	1615				
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	ılv 2005						
2a) This action is FIN	· · · <u> </u>		al					
<u> </u>	/ 							
•								
closed in accord	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pa 3) Information Disclosure Star Paper No(s)/Mail Date	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-19 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-10 and 13-18, drawn to a method of making particles.

Group II, claim(s) 11 and 19, drawn to particles.

Group III, claim(s) 12, drawn to an apparatus.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking the above listed species appears to be a bioactive, however a bioactive is optional. Thus, the particles can include a bioactive yet the method of making does not have to include a bioactive. Therefore, the method of making particles could yield a particle for a very different purpose whereas the particles that contain a bioactive would be used for drug delivery. Furthermore, Group I is drawn to a method of making particles; Group II is drawn to particles; and Group III is drawn to an apparatus for producing particles. Groups I, II, and III are distinct and separate

inventions. In particular, Group I is a method of making particles such as those in Group II. However the particles of Group II could be produced with another method such as spray drying. Similarly, the apparatus of Group III can be used to make the particles of Group II or alternatively, a spray dryer apparatus could be used to create the particles of Group II. For these reasons, Groups I, II and III lack the same or corresponding special technical features and each invention would also require a separate search, thus creating an undue search burden. For instance, the method can be classified in class 427, subclass 212+ and class 264, subclass 4.3+; the apparatus can be classified in class 34.; and depending on whether a bioactive is included or not, the particle can be classified in class 424 (with bioactive) or class 264 (without bioactive).

Therefore, the claims are not so linked by a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 so as to form a single inventive concept.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

An election of species is required. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Whether or not a bioactive is present.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Please elect whether a bioactive is included or not included. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-19.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

As discussed above, the technical feature linking the above listed species appears to be a bioactive, however a bioactive is optional. Thus, the particles can include a bioactive yet the method of making does not have to include a bioactive. Therefore, the method of making particles could yield a particle for a very different purpose whereas the particles that contain a bioactive would be used for drug delivery. Also, the classification is completely different depending on whether a bioactive is present or not. Accordingly, the technical feature linking the listed species does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2.

Due to the complexity of the action, the examiner submitted the Election in writing in lieu of calling applicant's attorney.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Casey Hagopian whose telephone number is 571-272-6097. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert A. Wax, can be reached at 571-272-0623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Casey S Hagopian/ Examiner, Art Unit 1615

> /Robert A. Wax/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615